



Migration to T+2 Settlement

Gail Weiss

April 22, 2015



Agenda

- Introduction
 - Current Settlement Cycle
 - Benefits of Shortening the Settlement Cycle
 - Impact of Shortening the Settlement Cycle
 - Challenges of Shortening the Settlement Cycle
 - Operational Implications
 - Timeline for Implementation
 - Conclusion
-

Introduction

- **Trade Settlement Process Defined**

- In financial markets **T+3** is a shorthand for **trade date plus three days** indicating when securities transactions must be settled. T+3 means that when a security is purchased, payment and the securities certificate must change hands no later than three business days after the trade is executed.
 - Example: Trade Date or T = Monday
 - Day 1 = Tuesday
 - Day 2 = Wednesday
 - Day 3 or Settlement Date = Thursday
-

Introduction

- **Trade Settlement Process Historic Origins**

- In the 1700s the Amsterdam and London stock exchanges had close ties and would often list each others stocks.
 - To clear the trades, time was required for the physical stock certificate or cash to move between Amsterdam to London.
 - Implementation of standard settlement period of 14 days which is the time it took for couriers to make the journey on horseback and by ship.
 - Same model used for the next couple hundred years.
 - Technological advances in the late 1970s and 1980s finally made it possible to reduce the settlement cycle to T+5 and then T+3 in 1995.
-

Introduction

- **Standard Settlement Cycles in the US**

- Stocks, bonds, municipal securities, mutual funds traded through a brokerage firm, and limited partnerships traded on an exchange settle on T+3.
 - Government securities including US Treasury bonds, stock options, and options on futures contracts settle on the next business day following the trade, or T+1.
 - Foreign exchange often settles two days after trade date or T+2.
 - Futures contracts settle on the same day of the trade or T.
 - Many mutual fund trades currently settle on T+1.
-

Introduction

- **Global Trends**

- Much of Europe adopted a T+2 settlement process effective October 6, 2014.
 - Many markets in Latin America and Asia including India, Hong Kong and Taiwan already adopted T+2 settlement practices.
 - Mexico reverted from T+2 to T+3 in 2006 to align with the US.
 - Canada and Chile align with the US and have agreed to change settlement cycles to conform with US standards
 - Many Eurasian countries use a pre-funding model for on-exchange trades and primarily settle T+0.
 - The Middle East region mostly operates using T+2 and T+3 cycles except for Saudi Arabia that uses a fully pre-funded model and settles T+0.
-

Current Settlement Cycle

- **Steps in the Trade Flow Process**
 - **Phase 1** – Trade execution
 - Includes confirmation of the details of the trade
 - **Phase 2** – Clearing
 - Computation of the obligations of the counterparties and communication to central securities depositories and custodians
 - **Phase 3** – Settlement
 - Updating of registrar records and cash movement
-

Current Settlement Cycle

- **Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”)**
 - The Central Securities Depository (“**CSD**”) for the US
 - Largest CSD in the world
 - One of four ICSDs operating globally in over 130 countries
 - Through its subsidiary the National Securities Clearing Corporation (“**NSCC**”) clears and settles the majority of securities transactions in the US
-

Current Settlement Cycle

- **Trade Date** – Trade details are electronically transmitted to NSCC for processing
 - Directly or indirectly through a correspondent relationship.
 - **T+1** – NSCC's guarantee of settlement generally begins midnight between T+1 and T+2
 - NSCC is the counterparty eliminating settlement uncertainty.
 - **T+2** – NSCC issues summaries of all compared or cleared trades
 - **T+3** – Settlement occurs
-

Benefits of Shorter Settlement Cycles

- **Reducing Market Risk** – the longer the position remains open, the greater risk associated with closing it out
 - **Reducing Participant Exposure to NSCC** – The exposure could be reduced by 25% for each day the settlement cycle is reduced from the current T+3 scenario
 - **Reducing CCP Liquidity Needs** – NSCC maintains liquidity resources to effect settlement should there be a default and shortening the settlement cycle will reduce the required coverage
 - **Reducing Systemic Risk** – The lag time between trade date and settlement date creates exposure
-

Impact of Shorter Settlement Cycles

- **Primarily impacts asset managers, custodians and other institutional business**
 - Retail firms already require cash prefunding and discourage physical securities.
 - **Acceleration of current timeframes and cutoffs**
 - **Technological enhancements**
 - **DTCC enhancements/changes**
 - Dematerialization
 - Reduction in the limits for automatic securities transaction processing (Receiver Authorized Delivery)
 - Match to Settlement
-

Challenges of Shorter Settlement Cycles

- Forces trade comparison, reporting and allocation earlier on Trade Days to avoid increased fails, risk and cost
 - Requires DVP/RVP end-to-end process redesign (central matching, leverage obligation warehouse)
 - Technological enhancements including:
 - Near real-time compare/affirm near execution time
 - STP for allocations
 - Ex-clearing and non DTCC eligible securities must leverage CDS capabilities
 - Fail Controls must be robust
-

Challenges of Shorter Settlement Cycles

Continued:

- Managing cash availability for settlement
 - Requirement for prefunding especially retail customers
 - Capacity concerns due to compression of processes
 - Implementation costs especially for small to mid-size firms
-

Operational Implications

Accelerate activities to deal with exceptions throughout the trade day:

- Real time trade submission
 - Possible late shift to resolve trade breaks
 - Trade affirmation prior to midnight on trade days
 - Trade allocations
 - FX processing is currently not the same day
 - Fail Control
 - Lack of harmony with other countries
 - ACH payout timelines
-

Operational Implications

Resolve industry and firm requirements:

- Physical securities
 - Trade matching centralization and redesign
 - Banks utilizing ACATS
 - Avoid or mitigate potential cost increase
 - Enforce existing standards
-

Challenges of T+1 Settlement

- Limitation in existing market infrastructure
 - Pressure on back office function of buy side
 - Payment system and client funding bottlenecks
 - Foreign exchange transaction for cross border trades
 - Technological limitations
-

Timeline for Implementation

- No set date yet
 - Discussions include a multi-year implementation timeframe
 - Industry and regulators holding meeting to discuss issues and concerns
 - Endorsement by DTCC and various trade associations including SIMFA and ICI
-

Additional Resources

- Target 2 Settlement (<http://www.ust2.com/>)
 - Position Paper: **DTCC Recommends Shortening the Settlement Cycle**
(<http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/WhitePapers/t2-Shortened-Cycle-WP.pdf>)
 - White Paper: **Cost Benefit Analysis of Shortening the Settlement Cycle**
(http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/WhitePapers/CBA_BCG_Shortening_the_Settlement_Cycle_October2012.pdf)
-

Conclusion

- T+2 Settlement is coming in the not too distant future
 - The benefits include reduced market and systemic risks and liquidity needs
 - Technological and operational challenges need to be addressed
-

Questions
